Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 MANAGU 03301 021904Z

61

ACTION ARA-20

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 AID-20 EB-11 IGA-02 CIAE-00 DODE-00

INR-10 NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 USIA-15 PRS-01 COME-00

SIL-01 LAB-06 OPIC-12 DOTE-00 L-03 TRSE-00 RSR-01

/107 W

----- 122642

R 021815Z AUG 73 FM AMEMBASSY MANAGUA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2138

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE MANAGUA 3301

E. O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: EGEN, BDIS, NU

SUBJECT: ORPHANS' HOME BID CONTROVERSY - ROUND TWO

REF: MANAGUA 2999

BEGIN SUMMARY: PRIVATE SECTOR CRITICS OF THE CONTROVERSIAL AWARD TO PANELFAB OF A RECENT ORPHANS' HOME CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT HAVE RECEIVED THE STRONG PUBLIC SUPPORT OF THE NICARAGUAN ASSOCIATION OF ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS (ANIA). ANIA SECONDED CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY COMPLAINTS THAT THREE LOW BIDS WERE REJECTED IN FAVOR OF THE HIGH COST PANELFAB DESIGN. MORE IMPORTANT, THE ANIA EVALUATION CRITICIZED THE AMBIGUITY OF THE BID DOCUMENTS, AND RAISED SERIOUS QUESTIONS ABOUT THE LEGALITY OF THE BID PROCEDURE AND SUGGESTED THAT THE BID BE REOPENED. END SUMMARY.

1. THE ORIGINAL CHAMBER OF CONSTRUCTION CRISITISM OF THE ORPHAN'S HOME BID PROCEDURE WAS LIMITED TO COMPLAINTS THAT A HIGH BID WON OVER A LOW BID, PLUS THE IMPLIED ASSERTION THAT THE WINNER'S POLITICAL POSITION WAS MORE IMPORTANT THAN COST IN AWARDING THE CONTRACT. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 MANAGU 03301 021904Z

ANIA, WHICH ENTERED THE FRAY AT THE REQUEST OF THE

CHAMBER OF CONSTRUCTION, AGREED THAT COST WAS GIVEN LITTLE WEIGHT IN SELECTING THE WINNING BIDDER, CAREFULLY IGNORED THE QUESTION OF POLITICAL INFLUENCE, AND THEN WENT WELL BEYOND PREVIOUS CRITIQUES TO SUGGEST THAT THE BID PROCEDURE AND EVALUATION WERE BOTH BADLY DONE AND ILLEGAL.

- 2. THE ANIA CRITICISMS ARE BASED PRIMARILY ON TECHNICAL AND LEGAL GROUNDS. IN THE FIRST CATEGORY THE ANIA REPORT NOTES THAT THE ORIGINAL BID SPECIFICATIONS WERE SO VAGUE THAT A MEANINGFUL EVALUATION OF THE BIDS IS IMPOSSIBLE. ALTHOUGH THE BIDS WERE SUPPOSEDLY WEIGHED FOR SUCH FACTORS AS QUALITY, DURABILITY, THERMAL RESISTANCE AND ABILITY TO WITHSTAND TREMORS, THE SPECIFICATIONS DID NOT CALL FOR THE TECHNICAL DATA NECESSARY TO MAKE AN OBJECTIVE COMPARISON.
- 3. FOR ITS LEGAL ARGUMENTS, ANIA USED THE REGULATIONS OF THE NATIONAL BOARD OF WELFARE AND SOCIAL ASSISTANCE (JNAPS). THREE MAJOR POINTS WERE MADE, FIRST, JNAPS REGULATIONS PROHIBIT A PROJECT DESIGNER FROM PARTICIPATING IN A SUBSEOUENT CONSTRUCTION BID. DONA HOPE HERSELF ADMITTED THAT PANELFAB QUOTE DONATED END QUOTE THE ARCHITECTURAL PLANS USED FOR THE BID. (THE ANIA REPORT ALSO NOTES IN PASSING THAT THE PLANS PANELFAB ATTACHED TO ITS BID WERE DATED MARCH 12, WHICH IS OVER A MONTH BEFORE THE OTHER BIDDERS GOT COPIES OF THE BID DOCUMENTS.) A SECOND AND RELATIVELY MINOR LEGAL QUIBBLE IS THAT THE JNAPS EVALUATING COMMITTEE WAS NOT LEGALLY CONSTITUTED, SINCE IT INCLUDED REPRE-SENTATIVES OF THE OFFICE OF URBAN PLANNING AND THE LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY, BUT NOT THE OFFICIALS REQUIRED BY JNAPS REGULATIONS, FINALLY, JNAPS REGULATIONS STATE THAT A FOREIGN COMPANY MAY BE AWARDED A CONSTRUC-TION CONTRACT ONLY IF ITS BID IS TEN PERCENT LOWER THAN THE BID OF A NICARAGUAN FIRM. (THIS OBJECTION MAY CARRY LITTLE WEIGHT SINCE PANELFAB IS REPRESEN-TED BY A LEGAL NICARAGUAN FIRM (DR LOVO) WHICH ACTUALLY SUBMITTED THE BID. ALSO, THE LOW BIDDER IS REPRESENTING A FOREIGN PRE-FAB COMPANY.) ANIA POINTS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 MANAGU 03301 021904Z

OUT THAT THE PANELFAB BID WAS 93 PERCENT ABOVE THE LOW NICARAGUAN BID.

4. ANIA CONCLUDES ITS REPORT WITH THE RECOMMENDATION THAT THE BID BE THROWN OUT AND DONE OVER DUE TO ITS MANY LEGAL AND TECHNICAL PROBLEMS AND GOES ON THE RECOMMENT THAT THE GON AND THE LOCAL CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY BE MORE ATTENTIVE TO PROPER BIDDING PROCEDURES.

5. COMMENT: THE ANIA REPORT MAY NOT BE FULLY SATIS-FACTORY TO THE LOW BIDDER, WHO WAS HOPING FOR A RECOMMENDATION THAT HE BE AWARDED THE CONTRACT. HOWEVER, THE REPORT IS PRESUMABLY EVEN MORE UNSATISFACTORY TO PANELFAB, JNAPS AND THE GON. APPARENTLY FACTUAL AND OBJECTIVE, THE ANIA REPORT IS MUCH MORE DAMAGING THAN ANY NUMBER OF SNIDE EDITORIALS IN LA PRENSA. WHETHER IT WILL HAVE ANY EFFECT ON THIS PARTICULAR BID, OR ON GON BIDDING PROCEDURES IN GENERAL, REMAINS TO BE SEEN. SHELTON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 02 AUG 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: elyme
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973MANAGLI03301

Document Number: 1973MANAGU03301 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: MANAGUA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730862/aaaabttj.tel Line Count: 126 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ACTION ARA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 73 MANAGUA 2999 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: elyme

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: Review Date: 24 JAN 2002

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24-Jan-2002 by thigpegh>; APPROVED <12 FEB 2002 by elyme>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: ORPHANS' HOME BID CONTROVERSY - ROUND TWO

TAGS: EGEN, BDIS, NU

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005